

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,638	01/22/2002	Robert P. Ryall	01-059-A	9398	
7590 05/11/2011 T. HELEN PAYNE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	AVENTIS PASTEUR, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
ONE DISCOVERY DRIVE SWIFTWATER, PA 18370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1645		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/054,638	RYALL, ROBERT P.			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	S. DEVI	1645			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>S. DEVI</u> .	(3)				
(2) Attorney Thomas Bordner.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 5 May 2011	Time:				
_ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	nt's representative)				
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
		i (!			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive aignature – ii appropriate)			

Application No. 10/054,638

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Bordner was informed that due to a system glitch, the italicization of the replaced limitation 'Neisseria meningitidis' in claim 18 in the Examiner's amendment dated 03/24/11 was removed when the action was scanned into the system. Since the system glitch is being fixed, attorney Bordner kindly agreed to fix this via an amendment.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

05-05-2011